Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 330

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. v. Gause (Order)	940
Abrams v. PH Architects, LLC (Order)	925
Adams v . Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order)	940
Adkins v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	946
Akers v . University of Connecticut Law School (Order)	902
Amelio v . Monthie (Orders)	907
Angersola v . Radiologic Associates of Middletown, P.C	251
Wrongful death action pursuant to statute (§ 52-555); motions to dismiss plaintiffs'	
$action\ on\ ground\ that\ plaintiffs\ failed\ to\ commence\ action\ within\ five\ year\ repose$	
$period\ set\ for th\ in\ \S\ 52\text{-}555;\ motion\ for\ limited\ discovery\ of\ disputed\ facts\ related$	
to trial court's jurisdiction; claim that repose period of § 52-555 had been tolled as	
$to\ all\ defendants\ in\ accordance\ with\ continuing\ course\ of\ conduct\ and\ continuing$	
$course\ of\ treatment\ doctrines; whether\ trial\ court\ correctly\ determined\ that\ failure$	
to comply with repose provision of § 52-555 deprives trial court of subject matter	
jurisdiction over action brought pursuant to that statute; claim that plaintiffs	
$could\ not\ invoke\ continuing\ course\ of\ conduct\ and\ continuing\ course\ of\ treatment$	
doctrines as basis for extending repose period set forth in § 52-555; whether	
plaintiffs properly preserved their claim for evidentiary hearing to address dis-	
puted issues of fact in support of their tolling claims; whether trial court correctly	
concluded that record did not support application of continuing course of treat-	
ment doctrine; whether trial court properly denied plaintiffs' request for limited	
discovery or for evidentiary hearing before it ruled on motions to dismiss, in	
order to resolve disputed jurisdictional facts related to claim that repose period	
of § 52-555 was tolled by continuing course of conduct doctrine.	00.
Bank of America, N.A. v. Kydes (Order)	925
Bank of America, N.A. v. Nino (Order)	927
Bank of New York Mellon v. Gilmore (Order)	926
Bank of New York Mellon v. Horsey (Order)	928
Bank of New York Mellon v. Orlando (Order)	952
Banks v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	950 925
Battistotti v. Suzanne A. (Order)	904
Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Park City Sports, LLC (Order)	904
Bell v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	949
Bennett v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	910
Bongiorno v. Capone (Order)	943
Breton v. Commissioner of Correction	462
Habeas corpus; risk reduction credit; claim that 2013 amendment (P.A. 13-3, § 59)	402
to statute ([Rev. to 2013] § 54-125a) governing parole eligibility, as applied	
retroactively to petitioner, violated ex post facto clause of United States constitu-	
tion on ground that it increased amount of time that he would be required to	
serve before becoming eligible for parole; whether ex post facto clause barred	
respondent from applying 2013 amendment to petitioner; whether 2013 amend-	
ment created sufficient risk that petitioner would be incarcerated longer that he	
would have been under version of § 54-125a in effect when petitioner committed	
crimes for which he was incarcerated.	
Browning v. Van Brunt, DuBiago & Co., LLC	447
Breach of contract; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that plain-	
tiffs, as trust beneficiaries, lacked standing to assert breach of contract claim	
against certain defendants on ground that trustee is appropriate party to bring	
action against third parties for liability to trust; whether plaintiffs fit within	
exception to general rule providing that beneficiaries may bring claim against	
third parties if trustee improperly refused or improperly neglected to bring action	
on behalf of trust; whether motion to dismiss was proper vehicle to challenge	
plaintiffs' standing to assert their breach of contract claim.	

Cady v. Zoning Board of Appeals	502
Zoning; subdivisions; whether trial court improperly reversed decision of defendant	
zoning board of appeals upholding decision of defendant zoning enforcement	
officer approving certain property line revisions proposed by defendant land-	
owner; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that landowner's proposal	
constituted subdivision as defined by statute (§ 8-18); whether trial court properly	
applied provision of Burlington Zoning Regulations (§ III.F.7) governing estab-	
lishment of nonconforming uses on preexisting lots.	
Carolina v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	943
Chamerda v. Opie (Order)	953
Chance v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	934
Clements v. Aramark Corp. (Order)	904
Commissioner of Emergency Services & Public Protection v . Freedom of Information	0.70
Commission	372
Freedom of information; administrative appeal; whether trial court properly sustained plaintiffs' appeal from decision of named defendant, Freedom of Informa-	
tion Commission, ordering disclosure of certain documents lawfully seized by	
police; whether trial court correctly concluded that documents were exempt from	
disclosure under Freedom of Information Act (§ 1-200 et seq.); claim that trial	
court incorrectly concluded that statutes (§§ 54-33a through 54-36p) governing	
searches and seizures by police satisfied requirements for statutory (§ 1-210 [a])	
exception for contrary state laws; burden of proving exemptions from disclosure	
under act, discussed; claim that judgment of trial court could be affirmed on	
alternative ground that documents did not constitute public records under act.	
Connecticut National Mortgage Co. v. Knudsen (Order)	926
Conroy v. Idlibi (Order)	921
DAB Three, LLC v. LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (Order)	921
Dahle v. Stop & Shop Supermarket Co., LLC (Order)	953
Desmond v. Yale-New Haven Hospital, Inc. (Order)	902
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	954
Dish Network, LLC v. Commissioner of Revenue Services	280
Tax appeal; claim that plaintiff satellite video company's failure to request adminis-	
trative review of audit pursuant to statute (§ 12-268i) barred subsequent request	
for refund pertaining to same tax period; whether trial court correctly concluded	
that gross earnings from sale, lease, installation, and maintenance of equipment	
were taxable pursuant to statute (§ 12-256 [b] [2]); whether trial court correctly	
concluded that gross earnings from digital video recording services and payment	
related fees were not taxable pursuant to § 12-256 (b) (2); whether trial court	
correctly concluded that plaintiff was not entitled to interest on refund pursuant	
to statute (§ 12-268c [b] [1]).	001
Doe v. Bemer (Order)	931
Drabik v. Thomas (Order)	929
Dupigney v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	942
Farrell v. Johnson & Johnson (Order)	944
Filosi v. Electric Boat Corp	231
Workers' compensation; collateral estoppel; claim for benefits under state Workers'	
Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.) by plaintiff, who had been awarded benefits under federal Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (33 U.S.C. § 901	
et seq.) following husband's death from lung cancer that allegedly was caused by	
workplace asbestos exposure; whether finding by administrative law judge in	
prior federal proceeding that decedent's workplace exposure to asbestos was sub-	
stantial contributing cause of development of his lung cancer precluded defendant	
employer and defendant insurers from contesting issue of causation under state	
act; claim by defendants that they were not collaterally estopped from litigating	
causal connection between decedent's death and his workplace exposure to asbestos	
because federal act requires lower standard of causation than substantial factor	
standard required under state act.	
Finney v. Finney (Order)	955
Finney v. Zahedi (Order)	956
Francis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	903
Garner v. Commissioner of Correction	486
Habeas corpus; risk reduction credit; claim that 2013 amendment (P.A. 13-3, § 59)	
to statute ([Rev. to 2013] § 54-125a) governing parole eligibility, as applied	
retroactively to petitioner, violated ex post facto clause of United States constitu-	
tion on ground that it increased amount of time that he would be required to	

respondent from applying 2013 amendment to petitioner; petitioner's ex post	
facto claim controlled by this court's decision in Breton v. Commissioner of	
Correction (330 Conn. 462); claim that petitioner's counsel provided ineffective	
assistance by failing to arrange for petitioner's cousin to speak on petitioner's	
behalf at sentencing hearing in mitigation of petitioner's sentence; whether coun-	
sel's performance was deficient.	
Georges v. OB-GYN Services, P.C. (Order)	905
Gonzalez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	947
Graham v. Commissioner of Transportation	400
Highway defect statute (§ 13a-144); personal injury; certification from Appellate	400
Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed trial court's judgment in favor	
of defendant Commissioner of Transportation; claim that commissioner was	
$liable\ under\ \S\ 13$ a-144 for failure of state police to close interstate bridge because of	
icy conditions; whether evidence in record was sufficient to establish relationship	
between state police and commissioner, as required under Lamb v. Burns (202	
Conn. 158); construction of statutes waiving sovereign immunity, discussed.	
Grant v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	956
Greene v . Commissioner of Correction	1
Habeas corpus; claim that prosecutor's failure to correct allegedly false testimony	
pertaining to plea agreement for cooperating witness deprived petitioner of right	
to due process of law; recommendation for conducting examinations of cooperat-	
ing witnesses with respect to plea agreements, discussed; claim that state violated	
petitioner's right to due process on ground that prosecutor knew before petitioner's	
criminal trial, but failed to disclose, intention to recommend favorable sentence	
for cooperating witness; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying	
petitioner's request to issue capias.	000
Green v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	933
Grover v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	933
Hall v. Hall (Order)	911
Hamburg v. Hamburg (Order)	916
Hartford v. CBV Parking Hartford, LLC	200
Eminent domain; challenge to statement of compensation filed by plaintiff city;	
claim that city's appeal was moot because it challenged only one of two indepen-	
dent grounds that supported trial court's fair market value determination;	
whether trial court improperly valued property on basis of unreasonable assump-	
tion that defendants would assemble their parcels with adjoining properties	
tion that defendants would assemble their parcels with adjoining properties owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest	
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest	
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise	
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest.	925
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order)	925 938
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order)	938
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order)	938 952
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order)	938 952 919
$owned\ by\ city\ for\ development;\ whether\ trial\ court\ improperly\ awarded\ interest\ pursuant\ to\ statute\ (\S\ 37-3c)\ at\ rate\ of\ 7.22\ percent\ and\ offer\ of\ compromise\ interest.$ Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v . Rinaldi (Order)	938 952 919 939
$owned\ by\ city\ for\ development;\ whether\ trial\ court\ improperly\ awarded\ interest\ pursuant\ to\ statute\ (\S\ 37-3c)\ at\ rate\ of\ 7.22\ percent\ and\ offer\ of\ compromise\ interest.$ Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v . Rinaldi (Order)	938 952 919 939 935
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Hum v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.)	938 952 919 939 935 906
$owned\ by\ city\ for\ development;\ whether\ trial\ court\ improperly\ awarded\ interest\ pursuant\ to\ statute\ (\S\ 37-3c)\ at\ rate\ of\ 7.22\ percent\ and\ offer\ of\ compromise\ interest.$ Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v . Rinaldi (Order)	938 952 919 939 935 906
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order). In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order)	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order) In Pancack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction.	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders). Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court;	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order). Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order). Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders). Jenzack Partners, ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inade-	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order) HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order) In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Madison M. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order) In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders) Jenzack Partners, ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly deter-	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order) HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order) In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Madison M. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders) Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order). Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order). Hum ble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders). Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order) In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Madison M. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order) In re Zoey H. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders) Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to present third-party culpability defense.	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922 520
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zoey H. (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to present third-party culpability defense. Jordan v. Biller (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922 520
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order) Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders) Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to present third-party culpability defense. Jordan v. Biller (Order). Kaminski v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922 520
owned by city for development; whether trial court improperly awarded interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3c) at rate of 7.22 percent and offer of compromise interest. Hilario's Truck Center, LLC v. Rinaldi (Order) Hirsch v. Woermer (Order). HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Orlando (Order) Hum v. Silvester (Order) Humble v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) In re Aalanah M. (Order). In re James H. (Order) (See In re Katherine H.) In re Katherine H. (Order) In re Zakai F. (Order). In re Zakai F. (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenkins v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Jenzack Partners, LLC v. Stoneridge Associates, LLC (Orders). Jenzack Partners, ineffective assistance of counsel; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that petitioner failed to preserve for review claim that defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by inadequately investigating alibi witnesses; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that failure of defense counsel to present alibi witnesses was reasonable trial strategy; claim that defense counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to present third-party culpability defense. Jordan v. Biller (Order).	938 952 919 939 935 906 951 957 906 949 , 922 520

Krahel v. Czoch (Order)	927
Krahel v. Czoch (Order)	958
Kuehl v. Koskoff (Order)	919
Landmark Development Group, LLC v. Water & Sewer Commission (Orders)	937 946
Langston v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). Ledyard v. WMS Gaming, Inc.	75
Personal property taxes; attorney's fees; final judgment; appellate jurisdiction; certi-	10
fication from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court lacked subject matter	
jurisdiction over defendant's appeal from trial court's decision to grant plaintiff	
town's motion for summary judgment as to liability only; claim that Appellate	
Court improperly dismissed appeal by relying on footnote in Paranteau v. DeVita	
(208 Conn. 515); whether Appellate Court improperly failed to apply bright line	
rule from Paranteau that judgment on merits is final for purposes of appeal even	
though amount of attorney's fees had not yet been determined.	
Lewis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906
Lindsay v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	947
Marshall v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	949
Melendez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	954
Mercado v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	918 954
Murallo v. United Builders Supply Co. (Order)	913
Murray v. Suffield Police Dept. (Order)	902
Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Washington (Order)	943
Nichols v. Oxford (Order)	912
136 Field Point Circle Holding Co., LLC v. Razinski (Order)	942
Oztemel v. Bailey (Order)	923
Palosz v. Greenwich (Order)	930
Randazzo v. Sakon (Order)	909
Real Estate Mortgage Network, Inc. v. Squillante (Order)	950
Roberson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	948
St. Juste v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	917
Santos v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	955
Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC (Order)	915
Seaside National Bank & Trust v. Lussier (Order)	951
Seven Oaks Enterprises, L.P. v. DeVito (Order)	953
Smith v. Rudolph	138
Action pursuant to statute (§ 52-556) waiving sovereign immunity when person is injured due to negligence of state employee while that employee is operating	
motor vehicle owned and insured by state; right to jury trial; motion to strike	
case from jury trial list; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that § 52-	
556 did not afford plaintiff right to jury trial; whether trial court properly struck	
plaintiff's case from jury trial list; whether § 52-556 expressly provides for right	
to jury trial.	
Standard Petroleum Co. v. Faugno Acquisition, LLC	40
Class action; claim that defendant petroleum company, which supplied gasoline	
products to plaintiff service station operators and franchisees, overcharged them	
by failing to apply certain federal tax credit and by charging state gross receipts	
tax; claim under Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.);	
motions for class certification; standards that govern trial court's class certifica-	
tion decision, discussed; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding	
that four prerequisites to class action set forth in applicable rule of practice (§ 9-7) were satisfied; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that	
common issues of law and fact predominated and that class action was superior	
to other methods of adjudication.	
Stanley v. Grant (Order)	955
State v. Anderson (Order)	957
State v. Bagnaschi (Order)	907
State v. Baldwin (Order)	922
State v. Bischoff (Order)	912
State v. Carney (Order)	945
State v. Corver (Order)	916
State v. Covington (Order)	933
State v. Crosby (Order)	911
State v. Day (Order)	924
State v. Dubuisson (Order)	914

	934
1 ()	945
	918
	914
	941
	936
State v. Harris	91
Felony murder; robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; eyewitness identifications; motion to suppress; out-of-court identification of	
defendant by eyewitness to crimes at arraignment on unrelated charges; claim	
that trial court violated defendant's due process rights under federal constitution	
by denying his motion to suppress eyewitness' out-of-court and in-court identifi-	
cations of him because out-of-court identification was product of unnecessarily	
suggestive procedure and neither identification was reliable; claim that, even if	
defendant's federal constitutional rights were not violated, admission of those	
identifications violated defendant's due process rights under state constitution;	
whether defendant was entitled to suppression of out-of-court and in-court identi-	
fications under federal constitution; whether identification procedure was unnec-	
essarily suggestive; whether identification of defendant at arraignment	
proceeding was nevertheless reliable under totality of circumstances; modifica-	
tion of framework for determining reliability of identifications set forth in Neil	
v. Biggers (409 U.S. 188) to conform to recent developments in social science	
and law, as matter of state constitutional law; endorsement of factors that this	
court identified as matter of state evidentiary law in State v. Guilbert (306 Conn.	
218) for determining reliability of identifications; adoption of burden shifting	
framework that New Jersey Supreme Court articulated in State v. Henderson (208	
N.J. 208) for purposes of allocating burden of proof with respect to admissibility	
of identification that is product of unnecessarily suggestive identification proce- dure; claim that, if trial court had applied standard that this court adopted for	
purposes of state constitution in present case, it would have concluded that	
identification should be excluded as insufficiently unreliable.	
<i>v v v</i>	918
	903
	913
	922
	937
State v. Jerzy G. (Order)	932
State v. Lamantia (Order)	919
State v. Latour (Order)	927
State v . Liebenguth (Order)	901
	947
	931
	929
	344
Illegal practices in campaign financing; claim that trial court improperly instructed	
jury as to mens rea required to prove crime of illegal practices in campaign	
financing; whether defendant waived unpreserved instructional challenge; mean-	
ing of phrase "knowingly and wilfully," as used in penalty statute (§ 9-623) for crime of illegal practices in campaign financing, discussed; instruction that	
court was required to give jury for it to determine whether defendant was quilty	
of crime of illegal practices in campaign financing, discussed.	
	920
	948
	916
	929
	917
	910
	915
	938
· /	936
State v. Smith (Orders)	
	908
State v. Taupier	149
Threatening first degree; breach of peace second degree; disorderly conduct; motion	
to dismiss; claim that threatening statements directed toward Superior Court	

judge in e-mail sent to others constituted protected speech under federal and state constitutions; claim that first degree threatening statute (§ 53a-61aa [a] [3]) was unconstitutional under free speech provisions of federal and state constitutions because statute did not require state to prove that defendant, in threatening to commit crime of violence, had specific intent to terrorize target of threatening statements; claim that first amendment requires higher mens rea for threatening speech directed at public official; whether trial court's consideration of evidence regarding certain events following defendant's threatening statement constituted reversible error; whether evidence was sufficient to support defendant's convictions of threatening in first degree and disorderly conduct; indirect communication of threats through third parties, discussed.

State v. Turner (Order)	909
State v. Vassell (Order)	935
State v . Vega (Order)	928
State v . White (Order)	924
State v. Williams (Order)	935
State v . Wynne (Order)	911
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	931
Sun Val, LLC v. Commissioner of Transportation	316
Negligence; claim that trial court improperly considered certain regulations govern-	
ing remediation; claim that trial court improperly failed to adopt removal plan	
proposed by expert witness; claim that trial court's award of damages was insuffi-	
cient; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff landowner failed to	
mitigate damages resulting from deposit of material on plaintiff's property;	
whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff had failed to prove element	
of proximate causation with respect to claim for lost profits.	
Suntech of Connecticut, Inc. v . Lawrence Brunoli, Inc	342
Breach of contract; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court cor-	
rectly concluded that trial court did not commit harmful error by precluding	
testimony from plaintiff's fact witness as to certain observations and perceptions	
or by declining to permit plaintiff's offer of proof; appeal dismissed on ground	
that certification was improvidently granted.	
Szymonik v. Szymonik (Order)	924
Taylor v. Taylor (Order)	932
	905
Tedesco v. Agoli (Order)	905 930
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order)	
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order)	930
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order)	930 901
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order)	930 901 944
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order) Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Traylor v. Gambrell (Order) US. Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order) Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc. (Order) Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order)	930 901 944 928
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order) Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Traylor v. Gambrell (Order) U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order) Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc. (Order) Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order) Walsh v. Bemer (Order)	930 901 944 928 951
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order) Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Traylor v. Gambrell (Order) U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order) Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc. (Order) Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order) Walsh v. Bemer (Order) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Lorson (Order)	930 901 944 928 951 932
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order) Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Traylor v. Gambrell (Order) U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order) Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc. (Order) Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order) Walsh v. Bemer (Order) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Lorson (Order) White v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	930 901 944 928 951 932 920
Tedesco v. Ágoli (Order) Thompson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Traylor v. Gambrell (Order) U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order) Ugalde v. Saint Mary's Hospital, Inc. (Order) Walenski v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission (Order) Walsh v. Bemer (Order) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Lorson (Order)	930 901 944 928 951 932 920 904